























Better Features

- There are smarter features:
 - Argument selectional preference:
 - serve NP[meals] vs. serve NP[papers] vs. serve NP[country]
 - Subcategorization:
 - [function] serve PP[as]
 - [enable] serve VP[to]
 - [tennis] serve <intransitive>
 - [food] serve NP {PP[to]}
 - Can capture poorly (but robustly) with local windows
 - ... but we can also use a parser and get these features explicitly
- Other constraints (Yarowsky 95)
 - One-sense-per-discourse (only true for broad topical distinctions)
 - One-sense-per-collocation (pretty reliable when it kicks in: manufacturing plant, flowering plant)







Example: Text Classification						
 We want to classify documents in 	ito categories					
DOCUMENT	CATEGORY					
win the election	POLITICS					
win the game	SPORTS					
see a movie	OTHER					
 Classically, do this on the basis of other information sources are pote Document length Average word length Document's source Document layout 	f words in the document, but entially relevant:					



























				Feature Weights				
Because of smoothing, the more common prefixes have larger weights even though			ng,	Feature Type	Feature	PERS	LOC	
				Previous word	at	-0.73	0.94	
			ı	Current word	Grace	0.03	0.00	
entire-word features are more specific.		Beginning bigram	→ <g< td=""><td>0.45</td><td>-0.04</td></g<>	0.45	-0.04			
		Current POS tag	NNP	0.47	0.45			
Local Context				Prev and cur tags	IN NNP	-0.10	0.14	
			xt	Previous state	Other	-0.70	-0.92	
	Prev	Cur	Next	Current signature	Xx	0.80	0.46	
State	Other	???	???	Prev state, cur sig	O-Xx	0.68	0.37	
Word	at	Grace	Road	Prev-cur-next sig	x-Xx-Xx	-0.69	0.37	
Tag	IN	NNP	NNP	P. state - p-cur sig	O-x-Xx	-0.20	0.82	
Sig	v	Xv.	Xv					
Sig	^	~~	~~	Total:		-0.58	2.68	





