CS152
Computer Architecture and Engineering
Lecture 14

Static Scheduling (Continued)

Dynamic Scheduling: Scoreboards

March 17th, 2003

John Kubiatowicz (www.cs.berkeley.edu/~kubitron)

lecture slides: http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs152/

The Big Picture: Where are We Now?

° The Five Classic Components of a Computer



° Today's Topics:

- Recap last lecture/Review Scoreboard
- Administrivia
- Tomasulo scheduling algorithm
- Tomasulo loop unrolling

Recall: Can we somehow make CPI closer to 1?

Let's assume full pipelining:

Possible delay slots around a 4-cycle multiply instruction:

```
multf   $F0,$F2,$F4     multf   $F0, $F2, $F4     ld      $F0,0($r5)
delay-1                 delay-1                   delay-1
delay-2                 delay-2                   multf   $F4,$F0,$F3
delay-3                 sw      $F0, 4($R2)
addf    $F6,$F10,$F0
```



Recall: Revised FP Loop Minimizing Stalls

```
1 Loop: LD    F0,0(R1)
2       stall
3       ADDD  F4,F0,F2
4       SUBI  R1,R1,8
5       BNEZ  R1,Loop    ;delayed branch
6       SD    8(R1),F4   ;altered when move past SUBI
```

Swap BNEZ and SD by changing address of SD

Instruction producing result	*Execute Latency*	*Instruction using result*	*Use Latency in cycles*
FP ALU op	4	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	4	Store double	2
Load double	2	FP ALU op	1

6 clocks: CPI = 6/5 = 1.2)
Unroll loop 4 times code to make faster?

Recall: Unrolled Loop That Minimizes Stalls

```
1 Loop: LD     F0,0(R1)
2       LD     F6,-8(R1)
3       LD     F10,-16(R1)
4       LD     F14,-24(R1)
5       ADDD   F4,F0,F2
6       ADDD   F8,F6,F2
7       ADDD   F12,F10,F2
8       ADDD   F16,F14,F2
9       SD     0(R1),F4
10      SD     -8(R1),F8
11      SD     -16(R1),F12
12      SUBI   R1,R1,#32
13      BNEZ   R1,LOOP
14      SD     8(R1),F16    ; 8-32 = -24
```

- What assumptions made when moved code?
  - OK to move store past SUBI even though changes register
  - OK to move loads before stores: get right data?
  - When is it safe for compiler to do such changes?

14 clock cycles, or 3.5 per iteration
CPI = 14/14 = 1
When safe to move instructions?

Getting CPI < 1: Issuing Multiple Instructions/Cycle

- Two main variations: Superscalar and VLIW
- Superscalar: varying no. instructions/cycle (1 to 6)
  - Parallelism and dependencies determined/resolved by HW
  - IBM PowerPC 604, Sun UltraSparc, DEC Alpha 21164, HP 7100
- Very Long Instruction Words (VLIW): fixed number of instructions (16) parallelism determined by compiler
  - Pipeline is exposed; compiler must schedule delays to get right result
- Explicit Parallel Instruction Computer (EPIC)/ Intel
  - 128 bit packets containing 3 instructions (can execute sequentially)
  - Can link 128 bit packets together to allow more parallelism
  - Compiler determines parallelism, HW checks dependencies and fowards/stalls

Getting CPI < 1: Issuing Multiple Instructions/Cycle

- Superscalar DLX: 2 instructions, 1 FP & 1 anything else
  - Fetch 64-bits/clock cycle; Int on left, FP on right
  - Can only issue 2nd instruction if 1st instruction issues
  - More ports for FP registers to do FP load & FP op in a pair

Type	*PipeStages*						
Int. instruction	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
FP instruction	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
Int. instruction		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	
FP instruction		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	
Int. instruction			IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
FP instruction			IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB

- 1 cycle load delay expands to 3 instructions in SS
  - instruction in right half can't use it, nor instructions in next slot

Loop Unrolling in Superscalar

	Integer instruction	*FP instruction*	*Clock cycle*
Loop:	LD F0,0(R1)		1
	LD F6,-8(R1)		2
	LD F10,-16(R1)	ADDD F4,F0,F2	3
	LD F14,-24(R1)	ADDD F8,F6,F2	4
	LD F18,-32(R1)	ADDD F12,F10,F2	5
	SD 0(R1),F4	ADDD F16,F14,F2	6
	SD -8(R1),F8	ADDD F20,F18,F2	7
	SD -16(R1),F12		8
	SD -24(R1),F16		9
	SUBI R1,R1,#40		10
	BNEZ R1,LOOP		11
	SD -32(R1),F20		12

- Unrolled 5 times to avoid delays (+1 due to SS)
- 12 clocks, or 2.4 clocks per iteration

Limits of Superscalar

- While Integer/FP split is simple for the HW, get CPI of 0.5 only for programs with:
  - Exactly 50% FP operations
  - No hazards
- If more instructions issue at same time, greater difficulty of decode and issue
  - Even 2-scalar => examine 2 opcodes, 6 register specifiers, & decide if 1 or 2 instructions can issue
- VLIW: tradeoff instruction space for simple decoding
  - The long instruction word has room for many operations
  - By definition, all the operations the compiler puts in the long instruction word can execute in parallel
  - E.g., 2 integer operations, 2 FP ops, 2 Memory refs, 1 branch
    - 16 to 24 bits per field => 7*16 or 112 bits to 7*24 or 168 bits wide
  - Need compiling technique that schedules across several branches

Loop Unrolling in VLIW

Memory reference 1	*Memory reference 2*	*FP operation 1*	*FP op. 2*	*Int. op/ branch*	*Clock*
LD F0,0(R1)	LD F6,-8(R1)				1
LD F10,-16(R1)	LD F14,-24(R1)				2
LD F18,-32(R1)	LD F22,-40(R1)	ADDD F4, F0, F2	ADDD F8,F6,F2		3
LD F26,-48(R1)		ADDD F12, F10, F2	ADDD F16,F14,F2		4
		ADDD F20, F18, F2	ADDD F24,F22,F2		5
SD 0(R1),F4	SD -8(R1),F8	ADDD F28, F26, F2			6
SD -16(R1),F12	SD -24(R1),F16				7
SD -32(R1),F20	SD -40(R1),F24			SUBI R1,R1,#48	8
SD -0(R1),F28				BNEZ R1,LOOP	9

Unrolled 7 times to avoid delays
7 results in 9 clocks, or 1.3 clocks per iteration

Need more registers in VLIW(EPIC => 128int + 128FP)

Software Pipelining

- Observation: if iterations from loops are independent, then can get more ILP by taking instructions from different iterations
- Software pipelining: reorganizes loops so that each iteration is made from instructions chosen from different iterations of the original loop (- Tomasulo in SW)



Software Pipelining Example

Before: Unrolled 3 times

```
1  LD    F0,0(R1)
2  ADDD  F4,F0,F2
3  SD    0(R1),F4
4  LD    F6,-8(R1)
5  ADDD  F8,F6,F2
6  SD    -8(R1),F8
7  LD    F10,-16(R1)
8  ADDD  F12,F10,F2
9  SD    -16(R1),F12
10 SUBI  R1,R1,#24
11 BNEZ  R1,LOOP
```

After: Software Pipelined

```
1  SD    0(R1),F4 ; Stores M[i]
2  ADDD  F4,F0,F2 ; Adds to M[i-1]
3  LD    F0,-16(R1); Loads M[i-2]
4  SUBI  R1,R1,#8
5  BNEZ  R1,LOOP
```



- Symbolic Loop Unrolling
  - Maximize result-use distance
  - Less code space than unrolling
  - Fill & drain pipe only once per loop vs. once per each unrolled iteration in loop unrolling

Software Pipelining with Loop Unrolling in VLIW

Memory reference 1	*Memory reference 2*	*FP operation 1*	*FP op. 2*	*Int. op/ branch*	*Clock*
LD F0,-48(R1)	ST 0(R1),F4	ADDD F4,F0,F2			1
LD F6,-56(R1)	ST -8(R1),F8	ADDD F8,F6,F2		SUBI R1,R1,#24	2
LD F10,-40(R1)	ST 8(R1),F12	ADDD F12,F10,F2		BNEZ R1,LOOP	3

° Software pipelined across 9 iterations of original loop
- In each iteration of above loop, we:
  - Store to m,m-8,m-16 (iterations I-3,I-2,I-1)
  - Compute for m-24,m-32,m-40 (iterations I,I+1,I+2)
  - Load from m-48,m-56,m-64 (iterations I+3,I+4,I+5)

° 9 results in 9 cycles, or 1 clock per iteration

° Average: 3.3 ops per clock, 66% efficiency

Note: Need less registers for software pipelining
(only using 7 registers here, was using 15)

Can we use HW to get CPI closer to 1?

° Why in HW at run time?
- Works when can't know real dependence at compile time
- Compiler simpler
- Code for one machine runs well on another

° Key idea: Allow instructions behind stall to proceed:

```
DIVD    F0,F2,F4
ADDD    F10,F0,F8
SUBD    F12,F8,F14
```

° Out-of-order execution => out-of-order completion.

° Disadvantages?
- Complexity
- Precise interrupts harder! (Talk about this next time)

Problems?

° How do we prevent WAR and WAW hazards?

° How do we deal with variable latency?
- Forwarding for RAW hazards harder.

Instruction	Clock Cycle Number																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
LD F6,34(R2)	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB												
LD F2,45(R3)		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB											
MULTD F0,F2,F4			IF	ID	stall	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	MEM	WB
SUBD F8,F6,F2				IF	ID	A1	A2	MEM	WB								
DIVD F10,F0,F6					IF	ID	stall	stall	stall	stall	stall	stall	stall	stall	stall	D1	D2
ADDD F6,F8,F2						IF	ID	A1	A2	MEM	WB						

RAW

WAR

Administrivia

° Should be well into designing Lab 4:
- Remember: a *Working* processor is necessary for full credit…
- Pipeline trick:
  - for things that write registers (like JAL), use full pipeline, i.e. turn operation into a null ALU operation that uses WB
- Memory Mapped I/O: Active Memory Locations
  - Feel free to add any required signals – probably need some sort of "read happening", "write happening" signals
  - This is the tip of the Iceberg of Memory-mapped I/O



Administrivia: Sample I/O

° An instruction sequence that should work:

```
          addiu $r2, $r2, 0   ; Initialize total sum
Loop:     break $1            ; Put 00000001 on leds
          lw    $r1, -16($r0) ; Load value from I/O
          add   $r2, $r2, $r1 ; Sum up value
          bne   $r1, $r0, Loop  ; Loop if input not zero
          sw    $r2, -16($r0) ; Place result on Hex display
```

° This will loop multiple times, letting user input values

° Result output to Hex LEDS (Output register DP0)

Administrivia

° Midterm now graded
- This test was too long! (Sorry)
- Overall scores: Mean: 67.3 Std Dev: 12.6

° Tonight: Your Lab 4 design document due
- Discuss them in section on Thursday
- Final due Thursday at Midnight

° Also due tonight: Problem 0 (evaluation)

° More info on advanced topics:
- Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach by John Hennessy and David Patterson
- Should be on reserve at Engineering library

Administrivia: Hardware version of Square Root



° Test only asked for software version

° Algorithm looks (almost) like divide!

Scoreboard: a bookkeeping technique

° Out-of-order execution divides ID stage:
1. Issue—decode instructions, check for structural hazards
2. Read operands—wait until no data hazards, then read operands

° Scoreboards date to CDC6600 in 1963

° Instructions execute whenever not dependent on previous instructions and no hazards.

° CDC 6600: In order issue, out-of-order execution, out-of-order commit (or completion)
- No forwarding!
- Imprecise interrupt/exception model for now

Scoreboard Architecture(CDC 6600)



Scoreboard Implications

- Out-of-order completion => WAR, WAW hazards?
- Solutions for WAR:
  - Stall writeback until registers have been read
  - Read registers only during Read Operands stage
- Solution for WAW:
  - Detect hazard and stall issue of new instruction until other instruction completes
- Need to have multiple instructions in execution phase => multiple execution units or pipelined execution units
- Scoreboard keeps track of dependencies between instructions that have already issued.
- Scoreboard replaces ID, EX, WB with 4 stages

Four Stages of Scoreboard Control

- Issue—decode instructions & check for structural hazards (ID1)
  - Instructions issued in program order (for hazard checking)
  - Don't issue if structural hazard
  - Don't issue if instruction is output dependent on any previously issued but uncompleted instruction (no WAW hazards)
- Read operands—wait until no data hazards, then read operands (ID2)
  - All real dependencies (RAW hazards) resolved in this stage, since we wait for instructions to write back data.
  - No forwarding of data in this model!

Four Stages of Scoreboard Control

- Execution—operate on operands (EX)
  - The functional unit begins execution upon receiving operands. When the result is ready, it notifies the scoreboard that it has completed execution.
- Write result—finish execution (WB)
  - Stall until no WAR hazards with previous instructions:

Example:

```
DIVD   F0,F2,F4
ADDD   F10,F0,F8
SUBD   F8,F8,F14
```

CDC 6600 scoreboard would stall SUBD until ADDD reads operands

Three Parts of the Scoreboard

° **Instruction status:**
Which of 4 steps the instruction is in

° **Functional unit status:**—Indicates the state of the functional unit (FU). 9 fields for each functional unit
- **Busy:** Indicates whether the unit is busy or not
- **Op:** Operation to perform in the unit (e.g., + or –)
- **Fi:** Destination register
- **Fj,Fk:** Source-register numbers
- **Qj,Qk:** Functional units producing source registers Fj, Fk
- **Rj,Rk:** Flags indicating when Fj, Fk are ready

° **Register result status**—Indicates which functional unit will write each register, if one exists. Blank when no pending instructions will write that register

Scoreboard Example

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2				
LD	F2	45+	R3				
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock	F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
FU									

Detailed Scoreboard Pipeline Control

Instruction status	Wait until	Bookkeeping
Issue	Not busy (FU) and not result(D)	Busy(FU)← yes; Op(FU)← op; Fi(FU)← `D'; Fj(FU)← `S1'; Fk(FU)← `S2'; Qj← Result('S1'); Qk← Result(`S2'); Rj← not Qj; Rk← not Qk; Result('D')← FU;
Read operands	Rj and Rk	Rj← No; Rk← No
Execution complete	Functional unit done	
Write result	∀f((Fj(f)≠Fi(FU) or Rj(f)=No) & (Fk(f) ≠Fi(FU) or Rk(f)=No))	∀f(if Qj(f)=FU then Rj(f)← Yes); ∀f(if Qk(f)=FU then Rj(f)← Yes); Result(Fi(FU))← 0; Busy(FU)← No

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 1

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1			
LD	F2	45+	R3				
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F6		R2				Yes
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock	F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
1									
FU				Integer					

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 2

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2		
LD	F2	45+	R3				
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F6		R2				Yes
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
2	FU				Integer					

- Issue 2nd LD?

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 3

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	
LD	F2	45+	R3				
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F6		R2				No
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
3	FU				Integer					

- Issue MULT?

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 4

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3				
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
4	FU				Integer					

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 5

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5			
MULTD	F0	F2	F4				
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F2		R3				Yes
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
5	FU		Integer							

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 6

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6		
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2				
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	~~Yes~~	~~Load~~	~~F2~~		~~R3~~				~~Yes~~
	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4	Integer		No	Yes
	Mult2	~~No~~								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
6	FU	Mult1	Integer							

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 7

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6				
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F2		R3				No
	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4	Integer		No	Yes
	Mult2	~~No~~								
	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2		Integer	Yes	No
	Divide	~~No~~								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
7	FU	Mult1	Integer			Add				

- Read multiply operands?

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 8a (First half of clock cycle)

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	Yes	Load	F2		R3				No
	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4	Integer		No	Yes
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2		Integer	Yes	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
8	FU	Mult1	Integer			Add	Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 8b (Second half of clock cycle)

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			Yes	Yes
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2			Yes	Yes
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
8	FU	Mult1				Add	Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 9

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9		
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
10	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			Yes	Yes
	Mult2	No								
2	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2			Yes	Yes
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Note: Remaining

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
9	FU	Mult1				Add	Divide			

- Read operands for MULT & SUB? Issue ADDD?

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 10

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9		
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
9	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
1	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
10	FU	Mult1				Add	Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 11

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
8	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
0	Add	Yes	Sub	F8	F6	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
11	FU	Mult1				Add	Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 12

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2				

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
7	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
12	FU	Mult1					Divide			

- Read operands for DIVD?

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 13

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13			

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
6	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			Yes	Yes
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
13	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 14

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14		

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
5	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
2	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			Yes	Yes
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
14	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 15

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14		

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
4	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
1	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
15	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 16

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
3	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
0	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
16	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 17

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

WAR Hazard!

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
2	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
17	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

- Why not write result of ADD???

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 18

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
1	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
18	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 19

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
0	Mult1	Yes	Mult	F0	F2	F4			No	No
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6	Mult1		No	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
19	FU	Mult1			Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 20

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6			Yes	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
20	FU				Add		Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 21

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8	21		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	Yes	Add	F6	F8	F2			No	No
	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6			Yes	Yes

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
21	FU				Add		Divide			

- **WAR Hazard is now gone...**

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 22

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8	21		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	22

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
39	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6			No	No

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
22	FU						Divide			

Faster than light computation (skip a couple of cycles)

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 61

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8	21	61	
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	22

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
0	Divide	Yes	Div	F10	F0	F6			No	No

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
61	FU						Divide			

Scoreboard Example: Cycle 62

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8	21	61	62
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	22

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
62	FU									

Review: Scoreboard Example: Cycle 62

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Read Oper	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	2	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	5	6	7	8
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	6	9	19	20
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	7	9	11	12
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	8	21	61	62
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	13	14	16	22

Functional unit status:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	dest Fi	S1 Fj	S2 Fk	FU Qj	FU Qk	Fj? Rj	Fk? Rk
	Integer	No								
	Mult1	No								
	Mult2	No								
	Add	No								
	Divide	No								

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
62	FU									

- In-order issue; out-of-order execute & commit

CDC 6600 Scoreboard

- Speedup 1.7 from compiler; 2.5 by hand
  BUT slow memory (no cache) limits benefit
- Limitations of 6600 scoreboard:
  - No forwarding hardware
  - Limited to instructions in basic block (small *window*)
  - Small number of functional units (structural hazards), especially integer/load store units
  - Do not issue on structural hazards
  - Wait for WAR hazards
  - Prevent WAW hazards

Summary #1: Compiler techniques for parallelism

- Loop unrolling ⇒ Multiple iterations of loop in software:
  - Amortizes loop overhead over several iterations
  - Gives more opportunity for scheduling around stalls
- Software Pipelining ⇒ Take one instruction from each of several iterations of the loop
  - Software overlapping of loop iterations
  - Today will show hardware overlapping of loop iterations
- Very Long Instruction Word machines (VLIW) ⇒ Multiple operations coded in single, long instruction
  - Requires sophisticated compiler to decide which operations can be done in parallel
  - Trace scheduling ⇒ find common path and schedule code as if branches didn't exist (+ add "fixup code")
- All of these require additional registers

Summary #2

- HW exploiting ILP
  - Works when can't know dependence at compile time.
  - Code for one machine runs well on another
- Key idea of Scoreboard: Allow instructions behind stall to proceed (Decode => Issue instr & read operands)
  - Enables out-of-order execution => out-of-order completion
  - ID stage checked both for structural & data dependencies
  - Original version didn't handle forwarding.
  - No automatic register renaming