CS281A/Stat241A Lecture 21 Monte Carlo Methods

Peter Bartlett

Announcements

- My office hours: Tuesday Nov 10 (today), 1-2pm, in 723 SD Hall. Thursday Nov 12, 1-2pm, in 723 SD Hall.
- Homework 5 due 5pm Monday, November 16.

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Approximate Inference

- When the cliques are large, exact inference is intractable.
- We resort to approximate inference methods.
 - Monte Carlo methods.
 - Variational methods.
- Today: Monte Carlo methods.

Approximating Expectations

The inference problem:

Given observations x_E of variables in an evidence set, $E \subset V$, and a set of variables $F \subset V$, \dots find $p(x_F | x_E = \bar{x}_E)$.

We focus on approximating expectations:

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f(x)|x_E=\bar{x}_E\right].$

Approximating Expectations

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f(x)|x_E=\bar{x}_E\right].$

- If the functions f are indicators for events, these expectations are probabilities.
- These expectations are useful, for example, for the E-step of the EM algorithm:

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\ell_c(\theta)|x_E=\bar{x}_E\right].$

Approximating Expectations

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f(x)|x_E=\bar{x}_E\right].$

- If we can generate iid samples from the conditional distribution, we can approximate expectations.
- For x^1, \ldots, x^m drawn i.i.d. from $p(x|x_E)$, we estimate $\mathbb{E}[f(x)|x_E = \bar{x}_E]$ with

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}f = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{t=1}^{m}f(x^t).$$

- Estimate is unbiased: $\mathbb{E}\hat{\mathbb{E}}f = \mathbb{E}[f|x_E]$.
- Variance decreases: $Var(\hat{\mathbb{E}}f) = Var(f|x_E)/m$.

Bayesian Inference

In a Bayesian setting, we have a joint distribution

$$p(x,\theta) = p(x|\theta)p(\theta).$$

- Given some observations $x_E = \bar{x}_E$, we wish to sample from the posterior, $p(\theta|x_E)$.
- The same inference problem (the names have changed).

Data Augmentation Algorithm 1

We want to approximate the posterior distribution:

$$p(\theta|x_E) = \int p(\theta|x)p(x_{E^C}|x_E)dx_{E^C}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m p(\theta|x_{E^C}^i, x_E),$$

where $x_{E^C}^1, x_{E^C}^2, \dots, x_{E^C}^m$ are chosen (approximately) from $p(x_{E^C}|x_E)$.

Data Augmentation Algorithm 2

$$p(x_{E^{C}}|x_{E}) = \int p(x_{E^{C}}|\theta, x_{E}) p(\theta|x_{E}) d\theta$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p(x_{E^{C}}|\theta^{i}, x_{E}),$$

where $\theta^1, \theta^2, \ldots \theta^m$ are chosen (approximately) from $p(\theta|x_E)$.

Data Augmentation Algorithm

- I-step (Imputation): Use the sample $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^m$ to approximately sample $x_{E^C}^1, \ldots, x_{E^C}^m$ from $p(x_{E^C}|x_E)$.
- **P-step (Posterior): Use the sample** $x_{E^C}^1, \ldots, x_{E^C}^m$ to approximately sample $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^m$ from $p(\theta|x_E)$.

Need to:

- 1. Sample from $p(\theta|x)$.
- 2. Sample from $p(x_{E^C}|\theta, x_E)$.

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Sampling Multivariate Gaussians

- Suppose we wish to sample $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, and we have a source of (one-dimensional) Gaussians.
- If $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, then

$$x = \mu + LZ$$

has distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, LL')$.

Cholesky decomposition of a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix:

$$\Sigma = LL',$$

where L is lower triangular.

Unconditional Sampling

Consider a directed graphical model:

$$p(x) = \prod_{i} p(x_i | x_{\pi(i)}).$$

- Suppose that we wish to sample from p. unconditionally; no evidence.
- Algorithm: for each i (in a topological order):
 - Sample x_i from $p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)})$.

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

To generate *m* i.i.d. samples from $p(x|x_E)$:

 $S = \emptyset.$

• While |S| < m

- Generate x from p(x).
- If $x_E = \bar{x}_E$, set $S := S \cup \{x\}$.

Each element x of the set S has distribution $p(x|x_E = \bar{x}_E)$.

To generate m i.i.d. samples from p(x):

• Fix a proposal distribution q satisfying

$$\exists C, \, \forall x, \, q(x) \ge Cp(x).$$

 $S = \emptyset.$

- While |S| < m
 - Generate x from q(x).
 - Generate u uniformly from [0, q(x)/C].
 - If $u \le p(x)$, set $S := S \cup \{x\}$.

• Why are the samples from p(x)? For any (x, u) for which x is accepted,

$$Pr(x|u \le p(x)) = \frac{Pr(x) Pr(u \le p(x)|x)}{Pr(u \le p(x))}$$
$$= \frac{q(x)Cp(x)/q(x)}{Pr(u \le p(x))}$$
$$= p(x)\frac{C}{Pr(u \le p(x))}$$
$$= p(x),$$

from which we also see that $Pr(u \le p(x)) = C$.

• Thus, the expected time to sample m points from p is m/C.

The same argument works when we do not know a normalizing constant for p: To generate m i.i.d. samples from p(x),

• Fix a proposal distribution q satisfying

$$\exists C, \, \forall x, \, q(x) \ge CZp(x).$$

- $S = \emptyset.$
- While |S| < m
 - Generate x from q(x).
 - Generate u uniformly from [0, q(x)/C].
 - If $u \leq Zp(x)$, set $S := S \cup \{x\}$.

• Why are the samples from p(x)? For any (x, u) for which x is accepted,

$$\Pr(x|u \le p(x)) = \frac{\Pr(x) \Pr(u \le Zp(x)|x)}{\Pr(u \le Zp(x))}$$
$$= \frac{q(x)CZp(x)/q(x)}{\Pr(u \le Zp(x))}$$
$$= p(x)\frac{CZ}{\Pr(u \le Zp(x))}$$
$$= p(x),$$

from which we also see that $Pr(u \le p(x)) = CZ$.

Rejection Sampling: $p(x|x_E)$

- Why is $p(x|x_E)$ a special case?
- Set q(x) = p(x), the joint distribution.

• If $x_E = \bar{x}_E$,

$$q(x) = p(x_E)p(x|x_E)$$
$$= C \ p(x|x_E),$$

and since u is uniform on [0, q(x)/C], we accept with probability 1.

● If $x_E \neq \bar{x}_E$, $q(x)/C = p(x|x_E) = 0$, so we reject with probability 1.

Rejection Sampling: Drawbacks

- Acceptance ratio can be small: it typically decreases exponentially with the dimension/number of variables.
- Thus, may need to do a lot of computation to gather a sample.

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

- Key Idea: replace the random accept/reject decision in rejection sampling with a weighting, equal to the probability of acceptance.
- Again, choose a proposal distribution q(x).

$$\mathbb{E}_{p}f(X) = \int f(x)p(x)dx$$
$$= \int f(x)\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}q(x)dx = \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[f(X)\frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\frac{p(X)}{w(X)}\right]$$

We call w(X) the *importance weights*.

$$\mathbb{E}_p f(X) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[f(X) \frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right].$$

- c.f. accept with probability Cp(X)/q(X).
- Again, we do not need to know normalization: suppose

$$p(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z_p},$$
 $q(x) = \frac{\tilde{q}(x)}{Z_q}.$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_p f(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_q \left[f(X) \frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right]}{\mathbb{E}_q \left[\frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right]}$$

$$p(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z_p}, \qquad q(x) = \frac{\tilde{q}(x)}{Z_q}.$$
$$\mathbb{E}_p f(X) = \frac{1}{Z_p} \int f(x)\tilde{p}(x)dx = \frac{Z_q}{Z_p}\mathbb{E}_q \left[f(X)\frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right]$$
$$\text{and } \frac{Z_p}{Z_q} = \int \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z_q}dx = \int \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{\tilde{q}(x)}q(x)dx = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right].$$

So



$$\mathbb{E}_p f(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_q \left[f(X) \frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right]}{\mathbb{E}_q \left[\frac{\tilde{p}(X)}{\tilde{q}(X)} \right]}$$

We estimate this with

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m w^i f(x^i)}{\sum_{i=1}^m w^i},$$

where

$$x^i \sim q$$
 and $w^i = \frac{\tilde{p}(x^i)}{\tilde{q}(x^i)}.$

Example: Likelihood Weighting

To calculate a single (x, w) pair from $p(x|x_E = \bar{x}_E)$ in a directed graphical model:

- **Set** w := 1
- For all *i* in a topological order if $i \in E$: set

 $x_i := \bar{x}_i$ $w := w \ p(\bar{x}_i | x_{\pi(i)})$

else: sample x_i from $p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)})$.

Example: Likelihood Weighting

Think of each (x, w) pair as a particle at x with weight w. We approximate the distribution by this set of weighted particles.

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}f = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} w^i f(x^i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} w^i}$$

Here,

$$\tilde{p}(x) = p(x) = p(x|x_E)p(x_E)$$

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \prod_{i \notin E} p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)}),$$
so $w(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{\tilde{q}(x)} = \frac{\prod_{i \in V} p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)})}{\prod_{i \notin E} p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)})} = \prod_{i \in E} p(x_i|x_{\pi(i)}).$

The variance of the estimate

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}f = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f(x^i)\frac{p(x^i)}{q(x^i)}$$

is

$$\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var}\left(f(x^i) \frac{p(x^i)}{q(x^i)}\right)$$

This is minimized when

$$q(x) = \frac{f(x)p(x)}{\mathbb{E}f}.$$

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

- Consider a filtering problem, $p(x_t|y_1, \ldots, y_t)$:
 - MMH •
 - Kalman filter
- Suppose p(yt|xt) is complex.
 e.g., xt is location of robot, yt is (possibly multipath) sonar measurement of distance to a landmark.
- Then $p(x_t|y_1, \ldots, y_t)$ is complex.
- We can approximate these distributions with weighted particles $(x_t^1, w_t^1), \ldots, (x_t^m, w_t^m)$.

• We have samples x_t^1, \ldots, x_t^m , approximately distributed as $p(x_t|y_1, \ldots, y_{t-1})$, and we use these to compute expectations under $p(x_t|y_1, \ldots, y_t)$:

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}f(X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_t^i f(x_t^i),$$

where

$$w_t^{i} = \frac{p(y_t | x_t^{i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_t | x_t^{j})}.$$

To see that this makes sense:

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t) = \int f(x_t) p(x_t | y_1, \dots, y_t) dx_t$$

= $\frac{\int f(x_t) p(x_t, y_t | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}{\int p(x_t, y_t | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}$
= $\frac{\int f(x_t) p(y_t | x_t) p(x_t | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}{\int p(y_t | x_t) p(x_t | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}$
 $\approx \sum_{i=1}^m f(x_t^i) w_t^i.$

We update our weighted particles (x_t^i, w_t^i) by sampling x_{t+1}^i from

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t) = \int p(x_{t+1}|x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t) p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_t) dx_t$$
$$\approx \sum_{j=1}^m p(x_{t+1}|x_t^j) w_t^j.$$

and by setting

$$w_{t+1}^{i} = \frac{p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}^{i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}^{j})}.$$

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)$$

$$= \int p(x_{t+1}|x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t) p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_t) dx_t$$

$$= \int p(x_{t+1}|x_t) p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_t) dx_t$$

$$= \frac{\int p(x_{t+1}|x_t) p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) p(y_t|x_t, y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}{\int p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) p(y_t|x_t, y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) dx_t}$$

$$= \frac{\int p(x_{t+1}|x_t) p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) p(y_t|x_t) dx_t}{\int p(x_t|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) p(y_t|x_t) dx_t}$$

$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^m p(x_{t+1}|x_t^i) w_t^i.$$

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1,\ldots,y_t) \approx \sum_{i=1}^m p(x_{t+1}|x_t^i)w_t^i.$$

This distribution is a mixture of the *m* components $p(x_{t+1}|x_t^i)$. We draw $x_{t+1}^1, \ldots, x_{t+1}^m$ from it.

Particle Filter Updates

- 1. Draw x_{t+1}^i from the mixture $\sum_{j=1}^m p(x_{t+1}|x_t^j)w_t^j$.
- 2. Weight each particle by $w_{t+1}^i \propto p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}^i)$.

Then expectations under $p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \ldots, y_{t+1})$ are approximated by

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}f(X_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{t+1}^{i} f(x_{t+1}^{i}).$$

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Markov Chain Monte Carlo

- To sample from p(x) on a space \mathcal{X} :
 - Choose a Markov chain with state space \mathcal{X} .
 - Choose transition probabilities A so that the distribution over states converges (quickly) to p.
 - Simulate the Markov chain, and use the samples

 $x_t, x_{t+k}, x_{t+2k}, \ldots$

MCMC: Terminology

The transition probability matrix of a Markov chain determines the state evolution:

$$A_{ij} = \Pr(x_{t+1} = j | x_t = i).$$

- Recall that a distribution over states $p_t(x)' = (\Pr(x_t = 1), \dots, \Pr(x_t = N))$ evolves as $p'_{t+1} = p'_t A.$
- A stationary distribution p on \mathcal{X} satisfies p'A = p'.

MCMC: Terminology

An ergodic Markov chain is irreducible (no islands) and aperiodic. It always has a unique stationary distribution: for all p₀,

$$p'_0 A^t \to p.$$

• An ergodic MC *mixes* exponentially: for some C, τ and stationary distribution p,

$$||p_0'A^t - p||_1 \le Ce^{-t/\tau}.$$

MCMC: Terminology

 \checkmark If p satisfies the detailed balance equations

$$p_i A_{ij} = p_j A_{ji},$$

then p is a stationary distribution, and the chain is called *reversible*:

$$\Pr(x_t = i, x_{t+1} = j) = \Pr(x_t = j, x_{t+1} = i).$$

Key ideas of this lecture

- Monte Carlo methods for approximate inference: Approximating expectations
- Applications:
 - E-step of EM.
 - Data augmentation in Bayesian analysis.
- Basic sampling methods
 - Multivariate Gaussians.
 - Directed graphical models.
- Rejection sampling
- Importance sampling
- Particle filters
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo