# Finite Element Simulation of Nonlinear Elastic Dynamics Using Cuda

Christopher Cameron

May 10, 2009

## The Problem

Compute how an elastic object deforms over time when subjected to external forces.

- Many applications including stress-testing buildings and vehicles.
- Artifically constrain implementation to fit on one GPU (small-scale simulations are still have applications, e.g, surgical simulation).





## The Discrete Time Differential Equation

Given the inputs

- $\bullet$  A discretized domain  $\Omega$  with n nodes and e elements
- A time-varying vector  $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$  which describes the displacement of the nodes of the mesh
- A mass matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{3n \times 3n}$  describing the mass distribution in the body
- Internal force function resulting from deformation,  $f_{\text{int}} : \mathbb{R}^{3n} \to \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ , and its derivative  $f'_{\text{int}} : \mathbb{R}^{3n} \to \mathbb{R}^{3n \times 3n}$
- A time-varying external force function from user input  $f_{\text{ext}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$

Solve the differential equation (looks like Newton's second law)

$$M\ddot{q}(t) = f_{\rm int}(q(t)) + f_{\rm ext}(t)$$

#### **Solution Details**

Running a simulation consists of, for each time value  $t_i$  in  $t_1, ..., t_m$ , computing  $q(t_{i+1})$  from  $q(t_i)$ . This involves

1. For each element, compute  $f_{ext}$  and  $f'_{ext}$  for just that element.

– For the 8-node brick elements used,  $f_{\mathsf{ext}} \in \mathbb{R}^{24}$  and  $f'_{\mathsf{ext}} \in \mathbb{R}^{24 \times 24}$ 

- 2. Assemble the per-element  $f_{\text{ext}}$  and  $f'_{\text{ext}}$  into whole-mesh  $f_{\text{ext}}$  and  $f'_{\text{ext}}$
- 3. Solve a sparse symmetric positive definite linear system involving  $f_{\rm ext},$   $f_{\rm ext}',$  and M

We focus on step 1 in this project. The remaining steps are very common and well-studied.

## **Implementation Details**

- Implemented on a GeForce 8800 GT with 512 MB of memory.
- Only uses single precision floating-point.
  - GPUs with double precision are available (just expensive).
  - Has very severe stability implications.
- One thread per element.
  - No communication between elements is necessary until assembly stage.
  - More threads in flight means more opportunity to hide latency.
  - One thread per quadrature point possible, but more complicated and results in more communication or over-computation.
- Use texture to read thread input values (e.g, node positions, displacement, etc).
  - Texture has a cache to lower latency
  - Using texture removes need to do coalesced reads

## Future work

- Exploit capabilities of newer hardware (e.g GeForce GTX 280).
  - Double precision support is available now.
  - Reading/writing coalescing constraints have been relaxed
    - \* No need to use texture for reading.
    - \* Potentially merge per-element computation step with assembly step.
- Full end-to-end solution on GPU
  - Perform assembly and and conjugate gradient solve on the GPU.
  - Should be much faster due to
    - $\ast\,$  No data transfer between CPU and GPU
    - $\ast\,$  GPU implementation of CG solve should be faster than CPU
- Extend to larger problems
  - Multi-GPU and multi-system implementations.
  - Double precision support allows larger systems to be stable.

# The End