

Some Experiments with Evaluation of Legendre Polynomials

Richard Fateman
Computer Science
University of California, Berkeley

April 5, 2007

Abstract

Common practice is to recommend evaluation of polynomials by Horner's rule. Here's an example where it is fast but doesn't work nearly as accurately as another fairly easy method. Can a method for Legendre polynomials be both fast and accurate? ¹

1 Legendre Polynomials

A substantial literature has grown up around the useful notion of orthonormal polynomials and one prime example is that of Legendre polynomials (also known as Legendre Functions of the First Kind and usually written as P_n) which we encountered most recently in looking at formulas for Gaussian quadrature. In this case we wanted to evaluate them at particular points and we have a choice of how to do so. The polynomials can be defined in various ways, but one popular method uses the recurrence (for integer $n \geq 0$):

$$P_n(x) := \frac{(2n-1)xP_{n-1} - (n-1)P_{n-2}}{n}, \quad P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = x$$

Another method is to expand this expression as a polynomial in x , extract the coefficients, and use Horner's rule.

Let us try an example, for $P_5(x)$ which is

$$\frac{63x^5 - 70x^3 + 15x}{8}$$

Using Horner's Rule it can be expressed as

$$\frac{x(x^2(63x^2 - 70) + 15)}{8}$$

or by performing the indicated division:

$$x(x^2(7.875x^2 - 8.75) + 1.875)$$

The recurrence, on the other hand, requires following a program. Here it is expressed in Macsyma:

¹We have previously observed that Chebyshev polynomials (T_n) can be calculated using a recurrence that computes T_{n+m} from T_n and T_m . For this, see papers by Fateman and Koepf [1, 3].

Experiments with graphing rapidly show that the worst behavior for Horner's Rule is when $|x|$ is just less than 1, where the zeros of P_n for different values of n are quite close. Indeed, the value at 1, computed exactly, is 1, but using Horner's rule is 1.29.

A question of some interest to us is whether we can evaluate Legendre Polynomials using some other scheme which takes no more arithmetic than Horner's rule, yet maintains the same numerical accuracy as the recurrence. For our motivating application, it is particularly important to have accurate values of Legendre polynomials near their zeros, so that these zeros can be accurately computed as a component of generating Gaussian quadrature formulas of various orders.

One possibility is to shift the Legendre polynomial, essentially re-expressing it as a Taylor series centered at 1. In this case the Horner's rule expansion is computed relative to $y = 1 - x$ (or by anti-symmetry at the other end of the unit interval), and accuracy is very high at (say) $x = 99/100$ or $y = 1/100$. This rule does not have the symmetry of expansions about zero, and in particular the Horner's rule at order 20 has 21 non-zero coefficients, not just 10, requiring twice as much arithmetic. This is less than the recurrence, but with similar accuracy to the recurrence in a limited area. If it were really as accurate, a reasonable tradeoff might be to use the expansion around 1 for numbers with absolute value in the range 0.5 to 1. Programs using this technique are also indicated in the appendix. Unfortunately the polynomial evaluation techniques, computed using any standard fixed-precision floating-point arithmetic, just do not appear as smooth functions, monotonic in appropriate intervals. As such they probably cannot be used reliably for (say) zero-finding. Their unfortunate behavior can easily be confirmed using graphics software: A close look at a plot shows the recurrence tracing out a smooth curve, but any of several Horner's rule computations producing a jagged graph somewhere between 0 and 1. [2].

References

- [1] R. Fateman, Lookup tables, recurrences and complexity. Proc. of ISSAC 89, ACM Press, New York, 1989, 68–73.
- [2] Course Notes and Solutions for Math 128, February 2004. <http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Math128/M128Bsol>
- [3] W. Koepf. Efficient Computation of Chebyshev Polynomials in Computer Algebra, <http://www.mathematik.uni-kassel.de/~koepf/cheby.pdf>.

3 Appendix: Programs

These experiments were done with the Macsyma / Maxima, computer algebra system.

```
(
/* define a recurrence for Legendre_p polynomials*/

lp(q,x):= block([p0:1,p1:x,pn:x], /*fast and 100 percent accurate if x is rat(z), say.*/
if (q=0) then 1 else if (q=1) then x else
( for n:2 thru q do
(pn: 1/n*(x*(2*n-1)*p1-(n-1)*p0),
p0:p1,
p1:pn),
pn)),

/* Make a Horner's rule version of a legendre polynomial*/
```

```

list22horner(L,var,ans):= /*evaluate a list as a polynomial using Horner's Rule */
  if L=[] then ans else list22horner(rest(L),var,var*ans+first(L)),
kill(lglistz),
/* keep a list of the non-zero coefficients, memoized */
lglistz[n]:=block([r:[]], for i in poly2list(lp(n,'x'),'x) do
  if i#0 then r:cons(i, r), reverse(r)),

/*Compute nth legendre_p at x using Horner's rule and the coefficients
in lglistz. */
clg(n,x) :=block([y:x^2],
  (if oddp(n) then x else 1) * list22horner(lglistz[n],y,0)),

/*taylor series */
tay1[n](y):='horner(subst(-y,x-1,taylor(lp(n,'x'),'x,1,n)),y),
/* value of a legendre polynomial near 1 and minus 1.*/
lpnear1(n,x):=tay1[n](1-x) )$
lpnearm1(n,x):=-tay1[n](x-1) )$
lpnear0(n,x):=clg(n,x)$

/* Other programs */
(g[0](x):=1, g[1](x):=x, g[n](x):= (1/n)*(x*(2*n-1)*g[n-1](x)-(n-1)*g[n-2](x)))$
sp[L](x):= sum((binomial(L,k)*binomial(-L-1,k)/2^k*(1-x)^k,k,0,L)$

/* make a list of expansion coefficients around 1-x, and also the denominator */
ex1(n):= block([h:poly2list(ratnumer(sp[n](1-'y)),'y)], [h, last(h)])$

/* similar, around 0 */
ex0(n):= block([s:rat(sp[n]('y))], [poly2list(ratnumer(s),'y),ratdenom(s)])$

```