

# CS61B Lecture #15

[There are no Lecture #14 notes.]

## Announcements

"The Open Computing Facility is looking for new staff members for Fall 2002. We are a completely student-run, volunteer staffed group that maintains the only 24-hour computer lab on campus. A network of Linux, Gateway machines and remote login servers are currently available to the 2000+ users that are signed up for our services. Staff *do not* need knowledge of Unix, networking or systems administration; we will teach you that. If you are interested, please send e-mail to [ewhatt@OCF.Berkeley.EDU](mailto:ewhatt@OCF.Berkeley.EDU)."

**Readings for Today:**    *Data Structures (Into Java)*, Chapter 1; Goodrich and Tamassia, Chapter 3.

**Readings for next Topic:**    *Data Structures*, Chapter 2.

# What Are the Questions?

- Cost is a principal concern throughout engineering:
  - “An engineer is someone who can do for a dime what any fool can do for a dollar.”
- Cost can mean
  - Operational cost (for programs, time to run, space requirements).
  - Development costs: How much engineering time? When delivered?
  - Costs of failure (for programs: How robust? How safe?)
- Is this program fast enough? Depends on:
  - *For what purpose*
  - *What input data*
- How much space (memory, disk space)?
  - Again depends on what input data
- How will it scale, as input gets big?

# Enlightening Example

**Problem:** Scan a text corpus (say  $10^7$  bytes or so), and find and print the 20 most frequently used words, together with counts of how often they occur.

- Solution 1 (Knuth): Heavy-Duty data structures
  - Hash Trie implementation, randomized placement, pointers galore, several pages long.
- Solution 2 (Doug McIlroy): UNIX shell script:

```
tr -c -s '[:alpha:]' '\n*' < FILE | \  
sort | \  
uniq -c | \  
sort -n -r -k 1,1 | \  
sed 20q
```
- Which is better?
  - #1 is much faster,
  - but #2 took 5 minutes to write and processes 20MB in 1 minute.
  - I pick #2.
- In most cases, anything will do: Keep It Simple.

# Cost Measures (Time)

- Wall-clock or execution time
  - You can do this at home:

```
time java FindPrimes 1000
```
  - Advantages: easy to measure, meaning is obvious
  - Appropriate where time is critical (real-time systems, e.g.).
  - Disadvantages: applies only to specific data set, compiler, machine, etc.
- Number of times certain statements are executed:
  - Advantages: more general (not sensitive to speed of machine)
  - Disadvantages: doesn't tell you actual time, still applies only to specific data sets,
- Symbolic execution times
  - That is, *formulas* for execution times or statement counts in terms of input size.
  - Advantages: applies to all inputs, makes scaling clear
  - Disadvantage: practical formula must be approximate, may tell very little about actual time

# Asymptotic Cost


- Symbolic execution time lets us see *shape* of the cost function.
- Since we are approximating anyway, pointless to be precise about certain things:
  - Behavior on small inputs:
    - \* Can always pre-calculate results some results.
    - \* Times for small inputs not usually important.
  - Constant factors (as in "off by factor of 2"):
    - \* Just changing machines causes constant-factor change.
- How to abstract away from (i.e., ignore) these things?

# Handy Tool: Order Notation

- Idea: Don't try to produce *specific* functions that specify size, but rather *families of similar* functions.
- Say something like " $f$  is bounded by  $g$  if it is in  $g$ 's family."
- For any function  $g(x)$ , the functions  $2g(x)$ ,  $1000g(x)$ , or for any  $K > 0$ ,  $K \cdot g(x)$ , all have the same "shape". So put all of them into  $g$ 's family.
- Any function  $h(x)$  such that  $h(x) = K \cdot g(x)$  for  $x > M$  (for some constant  $M$ ) has  $g$ 's shape "except for small values." So put all of these in  $g$ 's family.
- If we want upper limits, throw in all functions that are everywhere  $\leq$  some other member of  $g$ 's family. Call this family  $O(g)$  or  $O(g(n))$ .
- Or, if we want lower limits, throw in all functions that are everywhere  $\geq$  some other member of  $g$ 's family, call this family  $\Omega(g)$ .
- Finally, define  $\Theta(g) = O(g) \cap \Omega(g)$ —the set of functions *bracketed* by members of  $g$ 's family.

# Big Oh

- Goal: Specify bounding from above




- Here,  $f(x) \leq 2g(x)$  as long as  $x > 1$ ,
- So  $f(x)$  is in  $g$ 's upper-bound family, written

$$f(x) \in O(g(x)),$$

- ...even though  $f(x) > g(x)$  everywhere.

# Big $\Omega$

- Goal: Specify bounding from below:



- Here,  $f'(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}g(x)$  as long as  $x > 1$ ,
  - So  $f'(x)$  is in  $g$ 's lower-bound family, written
- $$f'(x) \in \Omega(g(x)),$$
- ...even though  $f(x) < g(x)$  everywhere.
  - In fact, we also have  $f'(x) \in O(g(x))$  and  $f(x) \in \Omega(g(x))$  and so we can also write

$$f(x), f'(x) \in \Theta(g(x)).$$

# Using the Notation

- Can use this order notation for any kind of real-valued function.
- We will use them to describe cost functions. Example:

```
/** Find position of X in list L. Return -1 if not found */
int find (List L, Object X) {
    int c;
    for (c = 0; L != null; L = L.next, c += 1)
        if (X.equals (L.head)) return c;
    return -1;
}
```

- Choose representative operation: number of `.equals` tests.
- If  $N$  is length of  $L$ , then loop does at most  $N$  tests: *worst-case time is  $N$  tests.*
- In fact, total # of instructions executed is roughly proportional to  $N$  in the worst case, so can also say worst-case time is  $O(N)$ , regardless of units used to measure.
- Use  $N > M$  provision (in defn. of  $O(\cdot)$ ) to handle empty list.

# Careful!

- It's also true that the worst-case time is  $O(N^2)$ , since  $N \in O(N^2)$  also: Big-Oh bounds are loose.
- The worst-case time is  $\Omega(N)$ , since  $N \in \Omega(N)$ , but that does *not* mean that the loop *always* takes time  $N$ , or even  $K \cdot N$  for some  $K$ .
- Instead, we are just saying something about the *function* that maps  $N$  into the *largest possible* time required to process an array of length  $N$ .
- To say as much as possible about our worst-case time, we should try to give a  $\Theta$  bound: in this case, we can:  $\Theta(N)$ .
- But again, that still tells us nothing about *best-case* time, which happens when we find  $X$  at the beginning of the loop. Best-case time is  $\Theta(1)$ .

# Effect of Nested Loops

- Nested loops often lead to polynomial bounds:

```
for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i += 1)
  for (int j = 0; j < A.length; j += 1)
    if (i != j && A[i] == A[j])
      return true;
return false;
```

- Clearly, time is  $O(N^2)$ , where  $N = A.length$ . *Worst-case time is  $\Theta(N^2)$ .*
- Loop is inefficient though:

```
for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i += 1)
  for (int j = i+1; j < A.length; j += 1)
    if (A[i] == A[j]) return true;
return false;
```

- Now worst-case time is proportional to

$$N - 1 + N - 2 + \dots + 1 = N(N - 1)/2 \in \Theta(N^2)$$

(so asymptotic time unchanged by the constant factor).

# Recursion and Recurrences: Fast Growth

- Silly example of recursion:

```
/** True iff X is a substring of S */
boolean occurs (String S, String X) {
    if (S.equals (X)) return true;
    if (S.length () <= X.length () return false;
    return
        occurs (S.substring (1), X) ||
        occurs (S.substring (0, S.length ()-1), X);
}
```

- In the worst case, both recursive calls happen.
- Consider a fixed size for X, say  $N_0$ .
- Define  $C(N)$  to be the worst-case cost of `occurs(S,X)` for S of length  $N$ , measured in # of calls to `occurs`. Then

$$C(N) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N \leq N_0, \\ 2C(N-1) & \text{if } N > N_0 \end{cases}$$

- So  $C(N)$  grows exponentially:

$$C(N) = 2C(N-1) = 2 \cdot 2C(N-2) = \dots = \underbrace{2 \cdot 2 \cdots 2}_{N-N_0} \cdot 1 = 2^{N-N_0} \in \Theta(2^N)$$

# Binary Search: Slow Growth

```
/** True X iff is an element of S[L .. U]. Assumes
 * S in ascending order, 0 <= L <= U-1 < S.length. */
boolean isIn (String X, String[] S, int L, int U) {
    if (L > U) return false;
    int M = (L+U)/2;
    int direct = X.compareTo (S[M]);
    if (direct < 0) return isIn (X, S, L, M-1);
    else if (direct > 0) return isIn (X, S, M+1, U);
    else return true;
}
```

- Here, worst-case time,  $C(D)$ , (as measured by # of string comparisons), depends on size  $D = U - L + 1$ .
- We eliminate  $S[M]$  from consideration each time and look at half the rest. Assume  $D = 2^k - 1$  for simplicity, so:

$$\begin{aligned} C(D) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } D \leq 0, \\ 1 + C((D-1)/2), & \text{if } D > 0. \end{cases} \\ &= \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_k + 0 \\ &= k = \lceil \lg D \rceil \in \Theta(\lg D) \end{aligned}$$

# Another Typical Pattern: Merge Sort

```
List sort (List L) {  
  if (L.length () < 2) return L;  
  Split L into L0 and L1 of about equal size;  
  L0 = sort (L0); L1 = sort (L1);  
  return Merge of L0 and L1  
}
```

- Merge (“combine into a single, ordered list”) takes time proportional to size of its result.
- Assuming that size of L is  $N = 2^k$ , worst-case cost function,  $C(N)$ , counting just merge time ( $\propto$  # items merged):

$$\begin{aligned}C(N) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } N < 2; \\ 2C(N/2) + N, & \text{if } N \geq 2. \end{cases} \\ &= 2(2C(N/4) + N/2) + N \\ &= 4C(N/4) + N + N \\ &= 8C(N/8) + N + N + N \\ &= N \cdot 0 + \underbrace{N + N + \dots + N}_k \\ &= N \lg N\end{aligned}$$

- In general,  $\Theta(N \lg N)$  for arbitrary  $N$  (not just  $2^k$ ).

# Amortization: Expanding Vectors

- When using array for expanding sequence, best to double size of array to grow it. Here's why.
- If array is size  $s$ , doubling its size and moving  $s$  elements to the new array takes  $K \cdot s$  for some  $K$ .
- Cost of inserting  $N$  items into array, doubling size as needed, starting with array size 1:

Item #	Resizing Cost	Cumulative Cost	Resizing Cost per Item	Array Size After Insertion
1	0	0	0	1
2	2	2	1	2
3 to 4	4	6	1.5	4
5 to 8	8	14	1.75	8
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$2^m + 1$ to $2^{m+1}$	$2^{m+1}$	$2^{m+2} - 2$	$\approx 2$	$2^{m+1}$

- So, if we spread out (amortize) the cost of resizing, we spend about 2 units of time on each item.
- So worst-case time for adding *one* element to array of  $N$  elements is  $2N$ , but time to add  $N$  elements is  $\Theta(N)$ , not  $\Theta(N^2)$ .