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## High-Level Actions (HLAs)

- Here, a high-level action (HLA) = a set of allowed immediate refinements:
- each is a sequence of actions
- may have associated preconditions
- Almost all actions we think about are high-level
- Plan a trip
- Vacuum the house
- Go to work
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- Abstract plans with HLAs are shorter
- Much shorter plans => exponential savings
- Can look ahead much further
- Requires models for HLAs
- i.e., transition and cost fns
- No suitable models in literature
- We extend our angelic semantics

looks like a good start
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- ... allows proving that a plan can or cannot possibly reach the goal
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## Angelic Semantics cont.

- Approximate descriptions provide lower \& upper bounds on reachable sets
- Descriptions are true: follow logically from hierarchy
- Sound \& complete planning algorithm uses descriptions to
- Commit to provably successful abstract plans: Downward Refinement Property (DRP) automatically satisfied
- potentially exponential speedup
- Prune provably unsuccessful abstract plans (USP satisfied)


## Contributions

- Extend angelic semantics with action costs
- Developed novel algorithms that do lookahead with HLAs
- Angelic Hierarchical $\mathrm{A}^{*}\left(\mathrm{AHA}^{*}\right)$

- Angelic Hierarchical Learning Real-Time A* (AHLRTA*)
- Both require three inputs:
- planning problem

- action hierarchy (set of HLAs)
- approximate models for HLAs
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## Deterministic Planning Problems

- Here, a planning problem =
- State space S
- Initial state S , terminal set G
- Primitive action set
- Transition function: $S \times A \rightarrow S$
- Cost function $\quad: S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$


Transitions \& costs for action $a_{1}$
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## Move(C,A)


$\operatorname{Nav}(2,3)$


GetR


## Running Example: Warehouse World HLAs

## Act

## Move(C,A)


$\operatorname{Nav}(2,3)$


NavT(2,3)

Nav(3,3)


U Turn

Nav(2,3)


D PutL
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## Running Example: Warehouse World HLAs

- Plans of interest are primitive refinements of special HLA Act
- Each HLA has a set of immediate refinements into action sequences

[NavT(left of B), GetR, NavT(left of target), PutR]
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## Abstract Lookahead Trees (ALTs)

- ALTs generalize lookahead trees for flat algs (e.g., $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ )
- Represent a set of potential plans
- Basic operation: refine a plan (replace with all refs. at some HLA)
- Nodes have optimistic \& pessimistic valuations
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## Modeling HLAs

- An HLA is fully characterized by planning problem + hierarchy
- But without abstraction, lose benefits of hierarchy
- Extension of idea from "Angelic Semantics for HLAs" [MRW ‘07]:
- Valuation of HLA h from state s:
- For each s', min cost of any primitive refinement of $h$ that takes $s$ to s'
- Exact description of $h=$ valuation of $h$ from each $s$
- But this description has no compact, efficient representation in general

NavT(0,1)
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- Instead, use approximate valuations
- We choose a simple form: reachable set + cost bound on set
- Optimistic valuations never overestimate best achievable cost
- Pessimistic valuations never underestimate best achievable cost
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## Representing Descriptions: NCSTRIPS

- Descriptions specify propositions (possibly) added/deleted by HLA
- Also include a cost bound
- Can condition on features of initial state

| $\operatorname{NavT}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ | (Pre: $\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Opt: } & -\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right),+\operatorname{At}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right), \tilde{\sim} \text { FaceR, } \tilde{\text { FaceR }} \\ & \cos t \geq\left\|x_{s}-x_{t}\right\|+\left\|y_{s}-y_{t}\right\| \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pess: IF Free $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right) \wedge \forall x \operatorname{Free}\left(x, y_{\max }\right)$ : <br> $-\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right),+\operatorname{At}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right), \sim$ FaceR, $\tilde{\text { FFaceR }}$ <br> cost $\leq\left\|x_{s}-x_{t}\right\|+2 y_{\max }-y_{t}-y_{s}+1$ <br> ELSE: <br> nil |  |

## Representing Descriptions: NCSTRIPS

- Descriptions specify propositions (possibly) added/deleted by HLA
- Also include a cost bound
- Can condition on features of initial state
- An simple algorithm progresses a valuation (DNF + \#) through an NCSTRIPS description to produce next valuation

| $\operatorname{NavT}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ | (Pre: $\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Opt: } & -\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right),+\operatorname{At}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right), \sim \text { FaceR, } \tilde{\text { FFaceR }} \\ & \cos t \geq\left\|x_{s}-x_{t}\right\|+\left\|y_{s}-y_{t}\right\| \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pess: IF Free }\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right) \wedge \forall x \text { Free }\left(x, y_{\max }\right) \text { : } \\ & \quad-\operatorname{At}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right),+\operatorname{At}\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right), \sim \text { FaceR, } \tilde{+} \text { FaceR } \\ & \cos t \leq\left\|x_{s}-x_{t}\right\|+2 y_{\max }-y_{t}-y_{s}+1 \end{aligned}$ <br> ELSE: <br> nil |  |

## Angelic Hierarchical A* (AHA*)

- Construct an ALT with the single plan [Act]
- Loop
- Select a plan with minimal optimistic cost to $G$
- If primitive, return it
- Otherwise, refine one of its HLAs
- Prune dominated refinements
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## Analysis of AHA*

- AHA* is hierarchically optimal (HO)
- Optimistic valuation $\rightarrow$ admissible heuristic
- Pruning never rules out all HO plans
- Better descriptions lead to lower runtime
- optimistic $\rightarrow$ directed search
- pessimistic $\rightarrow$ pruning (refine HO plans w/o backtracking)
- Reduces to $A^{*}$ given "flat" hierarchy: Act $\rightarrow$ [Prim, Act]

| Solution Length | A $^{*}$ | AHA* $^{*}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 16 | 10 | 4.7 |
| 25 | 40 | 11 |
| 37 | 550 | 30 |
| 44 | $>10000$ | 68 |

runtimes in seconds on five warehouse world instances of increasing solution length

## Online Search

- Situated agents must cope with passage of time
- offline planning rarely feasible
- common alternative: real-time search
- Korf's Learning Real-Time A* (LRTA*):
- Combines limited lookahead + learning
- Always reaches goal, converges to optimal
- Angelic Hierarchical LRTA* (AHLRTA*)
- Performs hierarchical lookahead
- Shares LRTA*'s guarantees
- Reduces to LRTA* given "flat" hierarchy



## Online Results



1 AHLRTA* refinement $\approx 5$ LRTA* refinements

## Summary

Model-based hierarchical planning is theoretically interesting, shows promising empirical performance
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