CS-184: Computer Graphics	
Lecture #19: Motion Capture	
1	
Prof James O'Brien	
University of California, Berkeley	
V2014-0-1.0	

Today	
• Motion Capture	
2	





What types of objects?
• Human, whole body
• Portions of body
Facial animation
• Animals
• Puppets
• Other objects
5









Capture Equipment
Electromagnetic Advantages         • 6 DOF data         • No occlusions         • Less post processing         • Cheaper than optical
<ul> <li>Disadvantages</li> <li>Cables</li> <li>Problems with metal objects</li> <li>Low(er) frequency</li> <li>Limited range</li> <li>Limited number of trackers</li> </ul>
10

## Capture Equipment • Electromechanical Image: Comparison of the second s



Performance Capture
Many studios regard <i>Motion</i> Capture as evil     Synonymous with low quality motion
No directive / creative control     Cheap
Performance Capture is different     Use mocap device as an expressive input device
Similar to digital music and MIDI keyboards
0

Manipulating Motion Data	
<ul> <li>Basic tasks</li> <li>Adjusting</li> <li>Blending</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Transitioning</li><li>Retargeting</li><li>Building graphs</li></ul>	
14	

## Adjusting

	Nature of Motion Data	
wny	Witkin and Popovic, 1995	
	A CANA A	
	Subset of motion curves from	
	captured walking motion From Witkin and Popovic, SIGGRAPH 95	





	Adjusting
	Select adjustment function from "some nice space     Example C2 B-splines     Spread modification even researchile period of time
	<ul> <li>Spread modification over reasonable period of time</li> <li>User selects support radius</li> </ul>
	18

Adjusting		
IK uses         points of spline in         Example         position         fix right         fix left         balance	s control o f the B- now ple: tion racket ight foot eft toes nce	















## Blending / Adjustment • Short edits will tend to look acceptable • Longer ones will often exhibit problems • Optimize to improve blends / adjustments • Add quality metric on adjustment • Minimize accelerations / torques • Explicit smoothness constraints • Other criteria...







Cyclification	
<ul> <li>Special case of transitioning</li> <li>Both motions are the same</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Need to modify beginning and end of a motion simultaneously</li> </ul>	
	31





Motion Graphs	
Similarity metric     Measurement of how similar two frames of motion are	
<ul><li>Based on joint angles or point positions</li><li>Must include some measure of velocity</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Ideally independent of capture setup and skeleton</li> <li>Capture a "large" database of motions</li> </ul>	



Motion graphs
Match imposed requirements
Start at a particular location
End at a particular location     Pass through particular pose
Can be solved using <i>dynamic programing</i> Efficiency issues may require approximate solution
Notion of "goodness" of a solution
36

Typical Motion Graph	Motion Graph
Walking #1 Running Idle Fall down Walking #2 Punches Recorded Time	Finite number of states Cloth is hysteretic rded Time



















## Precomputed Simulation

- No significant CPU load at runtime
- Decouples quality from runtime cost
- No new data at runtime
- Simulation can't crash application
- All motion can be inspected/edited
   Allows QA and art direction of simulations
- Extend to other types of simulation?
- Dynamic variations?

Suggested Reading
• Fourier principles for emotion-based human figure animation, Unuma, Anjyo, and Takeuchi, SIGGRAPH 95
Motion signal processing, Bruderlin and Williams, SIGGRAPH 95
Motion warping, Witkin and Popovic, SIGGRAPH 95
• Efficient generation of motion transitions using spacetime constrains, Rose et al., SIGGRAPH 96
Retargeting motion to new characters, Gleicher, SIGGRAPH 98
• Verbs and adverbs: Multidimensional motion interpolation, Rose, Cohen, and Bodenheimer, IEEE: Computer Graphics and Applications, v. 18, no. 5, 1998
4.

Suggested Reading
Retargeting motion to new characters, Gleicher, SIGGRAPH 98
Footskate Cleanup for Motion Capture Editing, Kovar, Schreiner, and Gleicher, SCA 2002.
Interactive Motion Generation from Examples, Arikan and Forsyth, SIGGRAPH 2002.
Motion Synthesis from Annotations, Arikan, Forsyth, and O'Brien, SIGGRAPH 2003.
Pushing People Around, Arikan, Forsyth, and O'Brien, unpublished.
Automatic Joint Parameter Estimation from Magnetic Motion Capture Data, O'Brien, Bodenheimer, Brostow, and Hodgins, GI 2000.
Skeletal Parameter Estimation from Optical Motion Capture Data, Kirk, O'Brien, and Forsyth, CVPR 2005.
Perception of Human Motion with Different Geometric Models, Hodgins, O'Brien, and Tumblin, IEEE:TVCG 1998.
47