

## Unsupervised Tagging?

- AKA part-of-speech induction
- Task:
- Raw sentences in
- Tagged sentences out
- Obvious thing to do:
- Start with a (mostly) uniform HMM
- Run EM
- Inspect results



## EM for HMMs: Quantities

- Cache total path values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i}(s) & =P\left(w_{0} \ldots w_{i}, s_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s_{i-1}} P\left(s_{i} \mid s_{i-1}\right) P\left(w_{i} \mid s_{i}\right) \alpha_{i-1}\left(s_{i-1}\right) \\
\beta_{i}(s) & =P\left(w_{i}+1 \ldots w_{n} \mid s_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s_{i+1}} P\left(s_{i+1} \mid s_{i}\right) P\left(w_{i+1} \mid s_{i+1}\right) \beta_{i+1}\left(s_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can calculate in $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{n}\right)$ time (why?)


## EM for HMMs: Process

- From these quantities, we can re-estimate transitions:

$$
\operatorname{count}\left(s \rightarrow s^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}(s) P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s\right) P\left(w_{i} \mid s\right) \beta_{i+1}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{P(\mathbf{w})}
$$

- And emissions:

$$
\operatorname{count}(w, s)=\frac{\sum_{i: w_{i}=w} \alpha_{i}(s) \beta_{i+1}(s)}{P(\mathbf{w})}
$$

- If you don't get these formulas immediately, just think about hard EM instead, where were re-estimate from the Viterbi sequences


## Merialdo: Setup

- Some (discouraging) experiments [Merialdo 94]
- Setup:
- You know the set of allowable tags for each word
- Fix $k$ training examples to their true labels - Learn $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{w} \mid \mathrm{t})$ on these examples
- Learn $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{t} \mid \mathrm{t}_{-1}, \mathrm{t}_{-2}\right)$ on these examples
- On n examples, re-estimate with EM
- Note: we know allowed tags but not frequencies

| Merialdo: Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of tagged sentences used for the initial model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 100 | 2000 | 5000 | 10000 | 20000 | all |
| Iter | Cor | rect ta | (\% w | ords) a | er ML | 1M w |  |
| 0 | 77.0 | 90.0 | 95.4 | 96.2 | 96.6 | 96.9 | 97.0 |
| 1 | 80.5 | 92.6 | 95.8 | 96.3 | 96.6 | 96.7 | 96.8 |
| 2 | 81.8 | 93.0 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 96.3 | 96.4 | 96.4 |
| 3 | 83.0 | 93.1 | 95.4 | 95.8 | 96.1 | 96.2 | 96.2 |
| 4 | 84.0 | 93.0 | 95.2 | 95.5 | 95.8 | 960 | 96.0 |
| 5 | 84.8 | 92.9 | 95.1 | 95.4 | 95.6 | 95.8 | 95.8 |
| 6 | 85.3 | 92.8 | 94.9 | 95.2 | 95.5 | 95.6 | 95.7 |
| 7 | 85.8 | 92.8 | 94.7 | 95.1 | 95.3 | 95.5 | 95.5 |
| 8 | 86.1 | 92.7 | 94.6 | 95.0 | 95.2 | 95.4 | 95.4 |
| 9 | 86.3 | 92.6 | 94.5 | 94.9 | 95.1 | 95.3 | 95.3 |
| 10 | 86.6 | 92.6 | 94.4 | 94.8 | 95.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 |

Distributional Clustering


## Distributional Clustering

- Three main variants on the same idea:
- Pairwise similarities and heuristic clustering
- E.g. [Finch and Chater 92]
- Produces dendrograms
- Vector space methods
- E.g. [Shuetze 93]
- Models of ambiguity
- Probabilistic methods
- Various formulations, e.g. [Lee and Pereira 99]



## What Else?

- Various newer ideas:
- Context distributional clustering [Clark 00]
- Morphology-driven models [Clark 03]
- Contrastive estimation [Smith and Eisner 05]
- Also:
- What about ambiguous words?
- Using wider context signatures has been used for learning synonyms (what's wrong with this approach?)
- Can extend these ideas for grammar induction (later)





## Simple Periodic Waves

- Characterized by:
- period: T - amplitude A
- phase $\phi$
- Fundamental frequency in cycles per second, or Hz
- $\mathrm{F}_{0}=1 / \mathrm{T}$


Simple periodic waves of sound
Complex waves: $100 \mathrm{~Hz}+1000 \mathrm{~Hz}$



Spectrum of an actual soundwave


- Y axis: Amplitude = amount of air pressure at that point in time - Zero is normal air pressure, negative is rarefaction
$X$ axis: time. Frequency $=$ number of cycles per second.
Frequency $=1 /$ Period
20 cycles in .02 seconds $=1000$ cycles $/$ second $=1000 \mathrm{~Hz}$


## Waveforms for speech

- Waveform of the vowel [iy]

- Frequency: repetitions/second of a wave
- Above vowel has 28 reps in .11 secs
- So freq is $28 / .11=255 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- This is speed that vocal folds move, hence voicing
- Amplitude: y axis: amount of air pressure at that point in time
- Zero is normal air pressure, negative is rarefaction


## She just had a baby


-

- Vowels are voiced, long, loud

Length in time = length in space in waveform picture
Voicing: regular peaks in amplitude
When stops closed: no peaks: silence.
. Peaks = voicing: . 46 to .58 (vowel [iy], from second .65 to .74 (vowel
[ax]) and so on
Silence of stop closure ( 1.06 to 1.08 for first [b], or 1.26 to 1.28 for
second [b]
Fricatives like [sh] intense irregular pattern; see . 33 to .46


## Back to Spectra

- Spectrum represents these freq components
- Computed by Fourier transform, algorithm which separates out each frequency component of wave.

- x-axis shows frequency, y-axis shows magnitude (in decibels, a log measure of amplitude)
- Peaks at 930 Hz, 1860 Hz, and 3020 Hz.



## Part of [ae] waveform from "had"



- ivote compiex wave repeatıng nıne tımes in tigure
- Plus smaller waves which repeats 4 times for every large pattern
- Large wave has frequency of 250 Hz (9 times in . 036 seconds)
- Small wave roughly 4 times this, or roughly 1000 Hz
- Two little tiny waves on top of peak of 1000 Hz waves




## Computing the 3 Formants of Schwa

- Let the length of the tube be $L$
- $F_{1}=c / \lambda_{1}=c /(4 L)=35,000 / 4 * 17.5=500 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- $\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{c} / \lambda_{2}=\mathrm{c} /(4 / 3 \mathrm{~L})=3 \mathrm{c} / 4 \mathrm{~L}=3 * 35,000 / 4 * 17.5=1500 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- $\mathrm{F}_{3}=\mathrm{c} / \lambda_{3}=\mathrm{c} /(4 / 5 \mathrm{~L})=5 \mathrm{c} / 4 \mathrm{~L}=5 * 35,000 / 4 \star 17.5=2500 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- So we expect a neutral vowel to have 3 resonances at 500, 1500, and 2500 Hz
- These vowel resonances are called formants



