

ON CONVERGENCE OF INFINITE MATRIX PRODUCTS

OLGA HOLTZ *

Abstract. A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of an infinite right product of matrices of the form

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix},$$

with (uniformly) contracting submatrices C , is proven.

Key words. Infinite matrix products, RCP sets.

AMS subject classifications. 15A60, 15A99

1. Introduction. Consider the set of all matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad A := \begin{bmatrix} I_s & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_s denotes the identity matrix of order $s < d$.

Matrices (1.1) are known (e.g., [1]) to form an LCP set whenever the submatrices B are uniformly bounded and the submatrices C are uniformly contracting, that is, satisfy the condition $\|C\| \leq r$ for some fixed matrix (i.e., submultiplicative) norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{C}^{(d-s) \times (d-s)}$ and some constant $r < 1$. To recall, a set Σ has the LCP (RCP) property if all left (right) infinite products formed from matrices in Σ are convergent.

Matrices of the form (1.1), with uniformly bounded submatrices B and uniformly contracting submatrices C , do not necessarily form an RCP set. (They do form such a set if and only if they satisfy a very stringent condition given in Corollary 2.3 below.) However, there exists a simple criterion that can be used to check whether a *particular* right infinite product formed from such matrices converges.

2. A convergence test. THEOREM 2.1. *Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrices of the form (1.1) and let*

$$\|C_n\| \leq r < 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

for some matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The sequence $(P_n := A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n)$ converges if and only if so does the sequence $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$. In this event,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. To prove the necessity, partition P_n conformably with A_n . Then

$$P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & X_n \\ 0 & C_1 C_2 \cdots C_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad X_n := \sum_{i=0}^n B_{n-i} (C_{n+1-i} C_{n+2-i} \cdots C_n).$$

If (P_n) converges, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n - X_{n-1}) = 0$. Also, $\|(I - C_n)^{-1}\| \leq 1/(1 - r)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But $X_n = B_n + X_{n-1} C_n$, so

$$B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} - X_{n-1} = (X_n - X_{n-1})(I - C_n)^{-1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

* Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 U.S.A. (holtz@cs.wisc.edu). This work was supported in part by the Clay Mathematics Institute.

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(I - C_n)^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n.$$

Now prove the sufficiency. Without loss of generality one can assume that $s = d - s$. Indeed, simply replace each A_n by

$$\widetilde{A}_n := \begin{bmatrix} I_{\max\{s, d-s\}} & \widetilde{B}_n \\ 0 & \widetilde{C}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\widetilde{B}_n := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} B_n & 0_{s \times (2s-d)} \\ & B_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s \geq d - s \\ \begin{bmatrix} & B_n \\ 0_{(d-2s) \times (d-s)} & \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s < d - s \end{cases},$$

$$\widetilde{C}_n := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} C_n & 0_{(d-s) \times (2s-d)} \\ 0_{(2s-d) \times (d-s)} & 0_{2s-d} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s \geq d - s \\ \begin{bmatrix} C_n \\ & \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } s < d - s \end{cases}.$$

Then the matrices \widetilde{A}_n satisfy all the assumptions of the theorem and the sequence $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$ (the product P_n) converges iff so does the sequence $(\widetilde{B}_n(I - \widetilde{C}_n)^{-1})$ (the product \widetilde{P}_n).

Thus, assume that $s = d - s$. Note that if the sequence $(B_n(I - C_n)^{-1})$ converges, then the sequence (B_n) is bounded, since $\|I - C_n\| \leq 1 + r$ for all n . Now, let

$$D_n := X_n - B_n(I - C_n)^{-1}$$

$$Y_n := B_{n+1}(I - C_{n+1})^{-1} - B_n(I - C_n)^{-1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad D_{n+1} = (D_n - Y_n)C_{n+1},$$

hence

$$\|D_{n+1}\| \leq (\|D_n\| + \|Y_n\|)\|C_{n+1}\| \leq (\|D_n\| + \|Y_n\|)r.$$

Repeated use of this inequality gives

$$\|D_n\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|Y_{n-i}\| r^i.$$

This implies, in particular, that

$$S := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n\| < \infty.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = 0$, the identity (2.1) and the upper bound on $\|C_n\|$ imply that $S \leq rS$, therefore $S = 0$, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0.$$

□

The obtained criterion of convergence can be used to make two more observations in the same spirit.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrices of the form (1.1) such that the sequence (C_n) converges to a matrix C with spectral radius smaller than 1. Then the sequence $(P_n := A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n)$ converges if and only if so does the sequence (B_n) . In this event,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \begin{bmatrix} I & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n (I - C)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. If $\rho(C) < 1$, then there exists a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{C}^{(d-s) \times (d-s)}$ such that $\|C\| < 1$ (e.g., [p.297, Lemma 5.6.10][2]). So, $\|C_n\| \leq r$ for all $n \geq N$ for some $r < 1$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, so the assumption of the theorem is then satisfied. The product P_n converges whenever so does the product $A_N A_{N+1} \cdots$, so (P_n) has a limit whenever (B_n) has one. By the same reason, the sequence $((I - C_n)^{-1})_{n=N}^{\infty}$ is bounded, so the necessity argument from the proof of the Theorem shows that the convergence of (B_n) is also necessary. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *A set Σ consisting of matrices of the form (1.1,) with uniformly contracting submatrices C , is an RCP set if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad B_1(I - C_1)^{-1} = B_2(I - C_2)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} I & B_i \\ 0 & C_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Given $A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma$, apply Theorem 2.1 to the product $A_1 A_2 A_1 A_2 \cdots$ to see that the condition (2.2) is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of such a product. But if it is satisfied for all pairs of matrices from Σ , then it is sufficient for the convergence of any right product of matrices from Σ . \square

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Professor Hans Schneider for his critical reading of the manuscript and to the referee for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Daubechies and J. Lagarias. Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 161 : 227–263, 1992.
- [2] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.