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## Challenges with distribution shift

Recht, Roelofs, Schmidt, Shankar, 2019


## Regression under covariate shift

our work focuses on regression under covariate shift

## observational model

we observe a dataset $\left\{\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where

$$
Y_{i}=f^{\star}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $f^{\star}=\mathbf{E}[Y \mid X=\cdot]$

## covariate distribution

covariates are sampled from source distribution $P$ and target distribution $Q$ :
source covariates: $\quad X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n_{P}} \stackrel{\text { i.i.d. }}{\sim} P$,

$$
\left(n=n_{P}+n_{Q}\right)
$$

target covariates: $\quad X_{n_{P}+1}, \ldots, X_{n_{P}+n_{Q}} \stackrel{\text { i.i.d. }}{\sim} Q$,

## Similarity measure

we define a measure between two distributions $P, Q$ on metric space ( $\mathscr{X}, d$ )
similarity measure
for radius $h>0$, we define

$$
\rho_{h}(P, Q):=\int_{\mathscr{X}} \frac{1}{P(\mathrm{~B}(x, h))} \mathrm{d} Q(x)=\mathbf{E}_{X \sim Q}\left[\frac{1}{P(\mathrm{~B}(X, h))}\right]
$$

above, $\mathrm{B}(x, h)$ is closed ball of radius $h$ centered at $x$

- at fixed $h>0$, absolute continuity is not required for finite similarity measure
- measure generalizes existing notions of "similarity" for pair $(P, Q)$
- our results use scaling of mapping $h \mapsto \rho_{h}(P, Q)$ in limit $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$


## Bounds on similarity measure

we bound the similarity measure using covering numbers

covering number $N(h):=$ minimal number of balls of radius $h$ required to cover $\mathscr{X}$

## Bounds on similarity measure

can bound similarity measure by approximating the integral over minimal covers

## Proposition

Suppose that for some $h>0$ there is $\lambda>0$ such that the mass comparison condition

$$
\lambda P(\mathrm{~B}(x, h)) \geq Q(\mathrm{~B}(x, h))
$$

holds for all $x \in \mathscr{X}$. Then, the similarity measure satisfies

$$
\rho_{h}(P, Q) \leq \lambda N(h / 2) .
$$

(note $\lambda$ can depend on $h$ in claim above)

## Consequences of general bound

using previous claim, can bound similarity measure in some situations

## examples

- bounded likelihood ratio: if $Q<P P$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} P}(x) \leq b$ for all $x$, have $\rho_{h}(P, Q) \leq b N\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)$
- transfer exponent (Kpotufe \& Martinet, 2018; 2021):
- pair $(P, Q)$ has $\left(\gamma, C_{\gamma}\right)$-transfer exponent if

$$
P(\mathrm{~B}(x, h)) \geq C_{\gamma} h^{\gamma} Q(\mathrm{~B}(x, h)) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{X}, \text { all } h>0 . \quad\left(\gamma, C_{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times(0,1]
$$

- implies similarity measure bound, $\rho_{h}(P, Q) \leq\left(h^{\gamma} C_{\gamma}\right)^{-1} N(h / 2)$,
(note that $N(h) \lesssim h^{-k}$ as $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$for compact domains $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathbf{R}^{k}$ )


## Assumptions on regression setup

recall our regression setup,

$$
Y_{i}=f^{\star}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

## smoothness condition

assume $\mathscr{X}=[0,1]$ and assume that $f^{\star}$ is $L$-Lipschitz,

$$
f^{\star} \in \mathscr{F}(L):=\left\{f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}| | f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)|\leq L| x-x^{\prime} \mid \text { for any } x, x^{\prime} \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

## noise condition

assume the noise variables satisfy (almost surely)

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\xi_{i}^{2} \mid X_{i}\right] \leq \sigma^{2}, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

## Classes of covariate shifts

below are families of covariate shift instances based on the map $h \mapsto \rho_{h}(P, Q)$

## families of covariate shifts

$\checkmark$ we consider pairs $(P, Q)$ for which (roughly) $\rho_{h}(P, Q) \lesssim h^{-\alpha}$ as $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$:

$$
\mathscr{D}(\alpha, C):=\left\{(P, Q) \mid \sup _{0<h \leq 1} h^{\alpha} \rho_{h}(P, Q) \leq C\right\} \quad(\alpha \geq 1 \text { and } C \geq 1)
$$

note that $\mathscr{D}(\alpha, C) \subset \mathscr{D}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ if $\alpha \leq \alpha^{\prime}$ and $C \leq C^{\prime}$
(some additional discussion and extensions in our full paper)

## Main result: minimax upper and lower bounds

our minimax results are stated for excess prediction error under $Q$,

$$
\left\|\hat{f}-f^{\star}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}=\mathbf{E}_{X^{\prime} \sim Q}\left[\left(\hat{f}\left(X^{\prime}\right)-f^{\star}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

## Theorem

Suppose $\sigma \geq$ L. Let $\alpha \geq 1, C \geq 1$. For a sufficiently large sample size, we have

$$
\sup _{(P, Q) \in \mathscr{O}(\alpha, C)} \inf _{\hat{f}} \sup _{f^{\star} \in \mathscr{F}(L)} \mathbf{E}\left\|\hat{f}-f^{\star}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} \asymp\left\{\left(\frac{n_{P}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2+\alpha}}+\left(\frac{n_{Q}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

- when $\alpha>1$, the worst-case rate (with no access to samples under $Q$ ) is $n^{-\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} \gg n^{-\frac{2}{3}}$
- upper bound is achieved by analyzing Nadaraya-Watson estimator under covariate shift
- lower bound is achieved by pair $\left(P_{\alpha, C}, Q_{\alpha, C}\right) \in \mathscr{D}(\alpha, C)$ that we construct


## Achievable result

achievable result based on classical Nadaraya-Watson estimator

## Nadaraya-Watson (NW) estimator

defined pointwise by the local average,

$$
\hat{f}(x):=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} 1\left\{X_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, h_{n}\right)\right\}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left\{X_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, h_{n}\right)\right\}}
$$

(above, $h_{n}>0$ is a bandwidth parameter)

- the estimator is defined to be zero when denominator is zero
- we establish minimax upper bounds by selecting $h_{n}$ as a function of ( $\left.n_{P}, n_{Q}, \sigma^{2}, L, \alpha, C\right)$


## Lower bound instance



Illustration of lower bound instance
high-level overview

- we construct a hard pair $(P, Q) \in \mathscr{D}(\alpha, C)$
- we construct a hard family of regression functions within $\mathscr{F}(L)$
- we establish our minimax lower bound by combining these two pieces with Fano's inequality and packing-based arguments


## Comparison to transfer exponent

introduced by Kpotufe and Martinet, 2018; 2021

our results have consequences for previously proposed notion of transfer exponent

- $(P, Q)$ have $\left(\gamma, C_{\gamma}\right)$-transfer exponent when for all $x, h$

$$
P(\mathrm{~B}(x, h)) \geq \mathrm{C}_{\gamma} h^{\gamma} Q(\mathrm{~B}(x, h))
$$

- can show if $(P, Q)$ have $\left(\gamma, C_{\gamma}\right)$-transfer exponent, then $(P, Q) \in \mathscr{D}\left(\gamma+1,2 / C_{\gamma}\right)$
- consequently, can obtain upper bounds for instances with known transfer exponent


## Conclusions

## summary

- we introduce a similarity measure between two probability measures on the same space
- we show that this measure can be bounded easily under natural conditions
- we derive matching minimax upper and lower bounds for nonparametric regression under classes of covariate shifts that are parameterized by the scaling of this measure


## additional results (not discussed)

- bounds under more general Hölder-smoothness conditions and additional classes of covariate shifts
- consequences of achievability results for bounded likelihood ratio and transfer exponent

