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## Measurement and Evaluation



## Measurement Tools

- Benchmarks, Traces, Mixes
- Cost, delay, area, power estimation
- Simulation (many levels)
- ISA, RT, Gate, Circuit
- Queuing Theory
- Rules of Thumb
- Fundamental Laws


## The Bottom Line: Performance (and Cost)

| Plane | DC to Paris | Speed | Passengers | Throughput <br> $(\mathrm{pmph})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boeing 747 | 6.5 hours | 610 mph | 470 | 286,700 |
| BAD/Sud <br> Concodre | 3 hours | 1350 mph | 132 | 178,200 |

- Time to run the task (ExTime)
- Execution time, response time, latency
- Tasks per day, hour, week, sec, ns ... (Performance
- Throughput, bandwidth


# The Bottom Line: Performance (and Cost) 

" X is n times faster than Y " means

| ExTime (Y) | Performance (X) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $---------\quad$ | ----------------- |
| ExTime (X) | Performance (Y) |

- Speed of Concorde vs. Boeing 747
- Throughput of Boeing 747 vs. Concorde


## Performance Terminology

" X is $\mathrm{n} \%$ faster than Y " means:


Example: Y takes 15 seconds to complete a task, X takes 10 seconds. What \% faster is X ?

## Example

$\frac{\operatorname{ExTime}(Y)}{\operatorname{ExTime}(X)}=\frac{15}{10}=\frac{1.5}{1.0}=\frac{\operatorname{Performance}(X)}{\text { Performance }(Y)}$
$n \quad=\quad \frac{100(1.5-1.0)}{1.0}$
n
II
50\%

## Amdahl's Law

Speedup due to enhancement $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ExTime w/o E Performance w/ } \\
& \text { Speedup (E) = ------------- = } \\
& \text { ExTime w/ E Performance w/o E }
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that enhancement E accelerates a fraction F of the task by a factor $S$, and the remainder of the task is unaffected, then:

ExTime (E) =
Speedup (E) =

## Amdahl's Law

$\mathrm{xTime}_{\text {new }}=$ ExTime $_{\text {old }} \mathbf{x}\left[\left(1-\right.\right.$ Fraction $\left.\left._{\text {enhanced }}\right)+\frac{\text { Fraction }_{\text {enhanced }}}{\text { Speedup }_{\text {enhanced }}}\right]$
,eedup $_{\text {overall }}=\frac{\text { ExTime }_{\text {old }}}{\text { ExTime }_{\text {new }}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\text { Fraction }_{\text {enhanced }}\right)+\frac{\text { Fraction }_{\text {enhan }}}{\text { Speedup }_{\text {enhan }}}}$

## Amdahl's Law

- Floating point instructions improved to run 2X; but only 10\% of actual instructions are FP

ExTime $_{\text {new }}=$

Speedup $_{\text {overall }}=$

## Amdahl's Law

- Floating point instructions improved to run 2X; but only 10\% of actual instructions are FP

ExTime $_{\text {new }}=$ ExTime $_{\text {old }} \times(0.9+.1 / 2)=0.95 \times$ ExTime $_{\text {ol }}$

$$
\text { Speedup }_{\text {overall }}=\frac{1}{0.95}=1.053
$$

# Corollary: Make The Common Case Fast 

- All instructions require an instruction fetch, only a fraction require a data fetch/store.
- Optimize instruction access over data access
- Programs exhibit locality

Spatial Locality


- Access to small memories is faster
- Provide a storage hierarchy such that the most frequent accesses are to the smallest (closest) memories.


Disk / Tape

## Occam's Toothbrush

- The simple case is usually the most frequent and the easiest to optimize!
- Do simple, fast things in hardware and be sure the rest can be handled correctly in software


## Metrics of Performance



Answers per month
Operations per second
(millions) of Instructions per second: MIPS (millions) of (FP) operations per second: MFLOI

Megabytes per second

Cycles per second (clock rate)

## Aspects of CPU Performance

CPU time $=\frac{\text { Seconds }}{\text { Program }}=\frac{\text { Instructions }}{\text { Program }} \times \frac{\text { Cycles }}{\text { Instruction }} \times \frac{\text { Seconds }}{\text { Cycle }}$

|  | Instr. Cnt | CPI | Clock Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Program |  |  |  |
| Compiler |  |  |  |
| Instr. Set |  |  |  |
| Organization |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |

## Marketing Metrics

MIPS = Instruction Count / Time * 10^6 = Clock Rate / CPI * $10^{\wedge} 6$

- Machines with different instruction sets ?
- Programs with different instruction mixes ?
- Dynamic frequency of instructions
- Uncorrelated with performance

MFLOP/S = FP Operations / Time * $10^{\wedge} \mathbf{6}$

- Machine dependent
- Often not where time is spent

Normalized:
add,sub,compare,mult
divide, sqrt
exp, sin, . .

## Cycles Per Instruction

Average Cycles per Instruction"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CPI } & =\text { Instruction Count / (CPU Time * Clock Rate) } \\
& =\text { Instruction Count / Cycles }
\end{aligned}
$$

CPU time $=$ CycleTime $* \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ CPI $_{i} * I_{i}$
'Instruction Frequency"

$$
\mathrm{CPI}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{CPI}_{i} * \mathrm{~F}_{i} \quad \text { where } \mathrm{F}_{i}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{i}}{\text { Instruction Count }}
$$

nvest Resources where time is Spent!

## Organizational Trade-offs
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## Example: Calculating CPI

Base Machine (Reg / Reg)

| Op | Freq | Cycles | CPI(i) | (\% Time) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| ALU | $50 \%$ | 1 | .5 | $(33 \%)$ |
| Load | $20 \%$ | 2 | .4 | $(27 \%)$ |
| Store | $10 \%$ | 2 | .2 | $(13 \%)$ |
| Branch | $20 \%$ | 2 | .4 | $(27 \%)$ |
|  |  |  | 1.5 |  |

Typical Mix

## Example

Add register / memory operations:

- One source operand in memory
- One source operand in register
- Cycle count of 2

Branch cycle count to increase to 3.
What fraction of the loads must be eliminated for thi to pay off?
Base Machine (Reg / Reg)

Op
ALU
Load
Store
Branch

Freq Cycles
50\% 1
20\% 2
10\% 2
$20 \%{ }_{\text {Typical Mix }}^{2}$

