



Figure 11.9 Schematic examples of reachable sets. The set of goal states is shaded in purple. Black and red arrows indicate possible implementations of h_1 and h_2 , respectively. (a) The reachable set of an HLA h_1 in a state s . (b) The reachable set for the sequence $[h_1, h_2]$. Because this intersects the goal set, the sequence achieves the goal.

It treats the HLA’s multiple outcomes exactly as if the HLA were a **nondeterministic** action, as in Section 4.3. For our case, the agent itself will choose the implementation.

The programming languages community has coined the term **demonic nondeterminism** for the case where an adversary makes the choices, contrasting this with **angelic nondeterminism**, where the agent itself makes the choices. We borrow this term to define **angelic semantics** for HLA descriptions. The basic concept required for understanding angelic semantics is the **reachable set** of an HLA: given a state s , the reachable set for an HLA h , written as $\text{REACH}(s, h)$, is the set of states reachable by any of the HLA’s implementations.

The key idea is that the agent can choose *which* element of the reachable set it ends up in when it executes the HLA; thus, an HLA with multiple refinements is more “powerful” than the same HLA with fewer refinements. We can also define the reachable set of a sequence of HLAs. For example, the reachable set of a sequence $[h_1, h_2]$ is the union of all the reachable sets obtained by applying h_2 in each state in the reachable set of h_1 :

$$\text{REACH}(s, [h_1, h_2]) = \bigcup_{s' \in \text{REACH}(s, h_1)} \text{REACH}(s', h_2).$$

Given these definitions, a high-level plan—a sequence of HLAs—achieves the goal if its reachable set *intersects* the set of goal states. (Compare this to the much stronger condition for demonic semantics, where every member of the reachable set has to be a goal state.) Conversely, if the reachable set doesn’t intersect the goal, then the plan definitely doesn’t work. Figure 11.9 illustrates these ideas.

The notion of reachable sets yields a straightforward algorithm: search among high-level plans, looking for one whose reachable set intersects the goal; once that happens, the algorithm can *commit* to that abstract plan, knowing that it works, and focus on refining the plan further. We will return to the algorithmic issues later; for now consider how the effects

Demonic
nondeterminism
Angel
nondeterminism
Angel
semantics
Reachable set