

Cluster-Based Network Services

I. Network Services:

- o 24x7 operation
- o huge scale (unprecedented)
- o personalization
- o no distribution problem (vs products)

Basic Advantages of Clusters:

- o Absolute scale (larger systems than any single computer)
- o High Availability -- but must tolerate partial failures
- o Commodity building blocks => cost, service and support, delivery time, alternate suppliers, trained employees

Challenges:

- o Hard to administer: single system image? ease of global view?
- o Partial failure brings new problems: must tolerate failures, can't just reboot
- o hard to have shared state (no shared address space)

ACID vs. BASE:

Idea: focus on HA with looser semantics rather than ACID semantics

- o ACID => data unavailable rather than available but inconsistent
- o BASE => data available, but could be stale, inconsistent or approximate
- o Real systems use BOTH semantics
- o Claim: BASE can lead to simpler systems and better performance (hard to prove)
 - Performance: caching and avoidance of communication and some locks (e.g. ACID requires strict locking and communication with replicas for every write and any reads without locks)
 - Simpler: soft-state leads to easy recovery and interchangeable components
- o BASE fits clusters well do to partial failure and lack of a (natural) shared namespace

TACC Model:

- o Restartable Workers
 - can run anywhere (even on overflow nodes)

- Worker must handle its own restart (easy with soft state workers, or workers that interface to an external database)
- Load balancing and worker creation/deletion is handled by SNS layer
- Fault tolerance = restart/migrate failed workers
- o Four kinds of workers:
 - Caching: stores post-transform, post-aggregation, and WAN content
 - Transformation: one-way conversion of data, including format changes (eg MIME type), resolution, size, quality, color map, language, etc.
 - Aggregation: combination of data from multiple sources; eg. movie info from different theaters, company info from multiple sites (analogous to a "join" for internet content)
 - Customization: support for personalization/localization based on persistent profiles
- o Question: is there a data independent "query" language analogous to SQL?
- o Starfish fault tolerance:
 - idea: any alive piece can regrow (restart) the whole system
 - need to track only "aliveness" not remote state (no state mirroring, since all state is soft)
 - multicast to regenerate/update state (there is no difference)
 - Manager watches front ends and vice versa

Burstiness and Overflow

- o Problem: peaks >> average => hard to plan capacity
- o General solutions:
 - caching absorbs some spikes, especially if it can be more aggressive during overload
 - admission control (especially of "hard" queries)
 - overflow nodes
- o Burstiness is real: a side effect of humans in the loop? or just natural?
- o Overflow nodes:
 - Idea: exploit nodes that normally have another purpose (such as desktop machines)
 - Not really tried in practice so far with few exceptions, eg. Pratt & Witney run simulations on desktops at night, but not really an "overflow"
 - Similar to another real world phenomenon (apocryphal?): Schwab uses managers to answer customer calls during an overflow; they are all trained but only work during overflow